

Erratum

Statistical Design in Isothermal Aging of Polyimide Resins

JAMES K. SUTTER,¹ J. MARCUS JOBE,² and ELIZABETH A. CRANE³

¹Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio 44135, ²Miami University, Oxford, Ohio 45056, and ³John Carroll University, Cleveland, Ohio 44118

[Article in *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 57, 1491 (1995)]

Table IV was incorrect as printed. The correct Table IV is presented below. Please note the underscoring presented for the resin weight loss values. As noted

in Table IV footnotes a and b, the break in underscoring indicates an important statistical difference in the resins' weight loss values.

Table IV Comparison of Fisher's Protected LSD Test and Tukey's Honest Significant Difference Test

(a) Mean of ln Averaged Over Locations for Each Resin ^{a,b}					
Resin	Avimid-N	AFR700B	VCAP-75	N-CYCAP	PMR-II-50
Mean of ln	<u>2.278</u>	<u>3.172</u>	<u>3.185</u>	<u>3.198</u>	<u>3.301</u> _a

(b) Differences of Means ^c					
Resin	Avimid-N	AFR700B	VCAP-75	N-CYCAP	PMR-II-50
Avimid-N		0.893 ^{a,b}	0.906 ^{a,b}	0.920 ^{a,b}	1.022 ^{a,b}
AFR700B			0.013	0.026	0.129 ^a
VCAP-75				0.014	0.116 ^a
N-CYCAP					0.103 ^a
PMR-II-50					

^a Break in underscoring indicates resins with less than 0.05% difference among them with Fisher's Protected LSD: $LSD_{0.05} = 0.087$.

^b Break in underscoring indicates resins with less than 0.05% difference among them with Tukey's HSD: $HSD_{0.05} = 0.188$.

^c After transformation.